

## LOGARITHMIC COMPOSITION INEQUALITY IN BESOV SPACES

YOUNG JA PARK\*

ABSTRACT. A logarithmic composition inequality in Besov spaces is derived which generalizes Vishik's inequality:

$$\|f \circ g\|_{B_{p,1}^s} \lesssim (1 + \log(\|\nabla g\|_{\mathbf{L}^\infty} \|\nabla g^{-1}\|_{\mathbf{L}^\infty})) \|f\|_{B_{p,1}^s},$$

where  $g$  is a volume-preserving diffeomorphism on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

### 1. The main discussion

M. Vishik[6] derived a logarithmic inequality in order to prove the global in time vorticity existence of the 2-D Euler equations in critical Besov spaces  $B_{p,1}^s$  with  $sp = 2$ . It can be explicitly displayed as follows: for a volume-preserving bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $f \in B_{\infty,1}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have  $f \circ g^{-1} \in B_{\infty,1}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and

$$\|f \circ g^{-1}\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0} \leq C (1 + \log(\|g\|_{\text{Lip}} \|g^{-1}\|_{\text{Lip}})) \|f\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0}$$

for some constant  $C = C(n)$  independent of  $f, g$  and

$$\|g\|_{\text{Lip}} := \sup_{x \neq x'} \frac{|g(x) - g(x')|}{|x - x'|}.$$

D. Chae later discussed a similar result on Triebel-Lizorkin spaces[1]. This paper generalizes Vishik's inequality on  $B_{\infty,1}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to more general Besov spaces  $B_{p,1}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Here is the main result:

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $f \in B_{p,1}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $|s| < 1$ . Suppose  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a volume-preserving diffeomorphism belonging to (homogeneous) Sobolev space  $\dot{W}^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $f \circ g \in B_{p,1}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and*

$$\|f \circ g\|_{B_{p,1}^s} \lesssim (1 + \log(\|\nabla g\|_{\mathbf{L}^\infty} \|\nabla g^{-1}\|_{\mathbf{L}^\infty})) \|f\|_{B_{p,1}^s}.$$

---

Received September 28, 2012; Accepted November 09, 2012.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 42B35, 42B37, 35A23.

Key words and phrases: logarithmic inequality, Euler equations, Besov spaces.

It is worth while pointing out that this result on Besov spaces can be discussed in general Triebel-Lizorkin spaces and that some other types of estimates for composition mapping can be found in [5](see page 209).

One of the typical examples of the volume-preserving diffeomorphisms  $g$  in Theorem 1.1 is the *particle trajectory mapping*  $X(\cdot, t)$  which is often discussed in the theory of fluid mechanics. In fact, if  $u(\cdot, t)$  is a divergence free vector field and  $\{X(x, t)\}$  is the solution of the ordinary differential equation:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} X(x, t) = u(X(x, t), t), \\ X(x, 0) = x, \end{cases}$$

then it can be noted that  $X(\cdot, t)$  is a volume-preserving diffeomorphism. Theorem 1.1 can be applied to the 2-D vorticity equation corresponding to the incompressible Euler equations given by

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \omega + (u, \nabla) \omega = 0,$$

where  $\omega := \text{curl } u$  with the initial vorticity  $\omega_0 := \text{curl } u_0$ . It is well-known that the solution  $\omega(x, t)$  of the 2-D vorticity equation can be represented by

$$(1.3) \quad \omega(x, t) = \omega_0(X^{-1}(x, t)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Therefore by virtue of Theorem 1.1, it can be said that

$$\|\omega(t)\|_{B_{p,1}^s} \lesssim (1 + \log(\|\nabla_x X(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla_x X^{-1}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty})) \|\omega_0\|_{B_{p,1}^s}.$$

Here are some notations which will be used throughout this paper. Let  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be the Schwartz class of rapidly decreasing functions. Take a nonnegative radial function  $\chi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying  $\text{supp } \chi \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq \frac{5}{6}\}$ , and  $\chi = 1$  for  $|\xi| \leq \frac{3}{5}$ . Set  $h_j(\xi) := \chi(2^{-j-1}\xi) - \chi(2^{-j}\xi)$ , and it can be easily seen that

$$\chi(\xi) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h_j(\xi) = 1 \quad \text{for } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Let  $\varphi_j$  and  $\Phi$  be functions defined by  $\varphi_j := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_j)$ ,  $j \geq 0$  and  $\Phi := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi)$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  represents the Fourier transform on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx.$$

Note that  $\varphi_j$  is a mollifier of  $\varphi_0$ , that is,  $\varphi_j(x) := 2^{jn}\varphi_0(2^jx)$  (or  $\hat{\varphi}_j(\xi) = \hat{\varphi}(2^{-j}\xi)$ ). One can readily check that

$$\Phi(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \varphi_j(x) = 2^{kn}\Phi(2^kx) \quad \text{for } k \geq 1.$$

For  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , denote  $\Delta_j f \equiv h_j(D)f = \varphi_j * f$  if  $j \geq 0$ ,  $\Delta_{-1}f \equiv \Phi * f$  and  $\Delta_j f = 0$  if  $j \leq -2$ . The partial sums are also defined:

$S_k f := \sum_{j=-\infty}^k \Delta_j f$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Assume  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ . The

Besov spaces  $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are defined by

$$f \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \Leftrightarrow \{\|2^{js}\Delta_j f\|_{L^p}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \in l^q.$$

**Notation** Throughout this paper, the notation  $X \lesssim Y$  means that  $X \leq CY$ , where  $C$  is a fixed but unspecified constant. Unless explicitly stated otherwise,  $C$  may depend on the dimension  $n$  and various other parameters such as exponents, but not on the functions or variables  $(u, v, f, g, x_i, \dots)$  involved.

## 2. The proof

Let  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a volume-preserving diffeomorphism with  $g(x) = (g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_n(x))$  and  $f \in B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $f$  can be written as

$$f = \sum_{m=-1}^{\infty} \Delta_m f.$$

By plugging this representation into the definition of the Besov space  $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f \circ g\|_{B_{p,1}^s} &\leq \sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-1}^{\infty} 2^{js} \|\Delta_j(\Delta_m f) \circ g\|_{L^p} \\ &= \sum_{m=-1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} 2^{js} \|\Delta_j(\Delta_m f) \circ g\|_{L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Choose arbitrary  $N \geq 1$  (the explicit choice will be made later) and consider three cases:  $j - m > N$ ,  $m - j > N$ , and  $|m - j| \leq N$  to get

$$\|f \circ g\|_{B_{p,1}^s} \leq \sum_{m=-1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j < m-N} + \sum_{j > m+N} + \sum_{|j-m| \leq N} \right) 2^{js} \|\Delta_j((\Delta_m f) \circ g)\|_{L^p}.$$

It suffices to estimate  $\|\Delta_j((\Delta_m f) \circ g)\|_{L^p}$ . The following partition of  $\hat{\varphi}$  can be used:

$$(2.1) \quad \hat{\varphi}(\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^n i\xi_k \hat{\theta}_k(\xi), \quad \hat{\theta}_k(\xi) = \frac{1}{in\xi_k} \hat{\varphi}(\xi).$$

Here  $\hat{\varphi}(\xi) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\text{supp } \hat{\theta}_k \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n | \frac{3}{5} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{5}{3}\}$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . For any  $f \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $j \geq 0$ , we define

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{jk} f = \hat{\theta}_k(2^{-j} D) f = 2^{jn} \theta_k(2^j \cdot) * f, \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Then (2.1) implies that

$$\Delta_j = 2^{-j} \sum_{k=1}^n \partial_k \circ \tilde{\Delta}_{jk}, \quad j \geq 0,$$

which is essential in the following proof.

We now look at the three cases separately. In case of  $m > N + j$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta_j((\Delta_m f) \circ g)(x) \\ &= 2^{-m} \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta_j(\partial_k(\Delta_m f) \circ g)(x) \\ &= 2^{nj-m} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(2^j(x-y)) (\partial_k \tilde{\Delta}_{mk} f)(g(y)) dy \\ &= 2^{nj-m} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(2^j(x-g^{-1}(z))) (\partial_k \tilde{\Delta}_{mk} f)(z) dz \\ &= -2^{(j-m)+nj} \sum_{k,l=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{z_l} \varphi(2^j(x-g^{-1}(z))) \tilde{\Delta}_{mk} f(z) \partial_{z_l} g_l^{-1}(z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

From this we get that

$$\|\Delta_j((\Delta_m f) \circ g)\|_{L^p} \lesssim 2^{j-m} \sum_{k=1}^n \|\tilde{\Delta}_{mk} f\|_{L^p} \|\nabla g^{-1}\|_{L^\infty},$$

or we get

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2^{js} \|\Delta_j((\Delta_m f) \circ g)\|_{L^p} \\ & \lesssim 2^{(j-m)(s+1)} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n 2^{ms} \|\tilde{\Delta}_{mk} f\|_{L^p} \right) \|\nabla g^{-1}\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

For the case of  $m < j - N$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta_j((\Delta_m f) \circ g)(x) \\ & = 2^{(n-1)j} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{x_k} \theta_k(2^j(x-y)) (\Delta_m f)(g(y)) dy \\ & = 2^{(n-1)j} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta_k(2^j(x-y)) \partial_k((\Delta_m f)(g(y))) dy \\ & = 2^{(m-j)+nj} \sum_{k,l=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta_k(2^j(x-y)) (\Delta_m \partial_l f)(g(y)) \partial_k g_l(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if  $j - m > N$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_j((\Delta_m f) \circ g)\|_{L^p} & \lesssim 2^{-j} \|\nabla \Delta_m f\|_{L^p} \|\nabla g\|_{L^\infty} \\ & \lesssim 2^{m-j} \|\Delta_m f\|_{L^p} \|\nabla g\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$(2.3) \quad 2^{js} \|\Delta_j((\Delta_m f) \circ g)\|_{L^p} \lesssim 2^{(m-j)(1-s)} (2^{ms} \|\Delta_m f\|_{L^p}) \|\nabla g\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Finally, for  $|j - m| \leq N$ , we use the integral representation

$$\Delta_j((\Delta_m f) \circ g)(x) = 2^{nj} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(2^j(x-y)) (\Delta_m f) \circ g dy$$

to reach to the estimate

$$(2.4) \quad \|\Delta_j((\Delta_m f) \circ g)\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|\Delta_m f\|_{L^p}.$$

Now combine the estimates (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) together to get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f \circ g\|_{B_{p,1}^s} &\lesssim \|\nabla g^{-1}\|_{\mathbf{L}^\infty} 2^{-N(s+1)} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{ms} \|\tilde{\Delta}_{mk} f\|_{L^p} \\
&\quad + \|\nabla g\|_{\mathbf{L}^\infty} 2^{-N(1-s)} \sum_{m=-1}^{\infty} 2^{ms} \|\Delta_m f\|_{L^p} \\
&\quad + (2N-1) \sum_{m=-1}^{\infty} 2^{ms} \|\Delta_m f\|_{L^p} \\
(2.5) \quad &\lesssim \left( 2^{-N(1-s)} \|\nabla g\|_{\mathbf{L}^\infty} + 2^{-N(1-s)} \|\nabla g^{-1}\|_{\mathbf{L}^\infty} + N \right) \|f\|_{B_{p,1}^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we choose

$$N = \left\lceil \frac{1}{1-s} \log_2(\|\nabla g\|_{\mathbf{L}^\infty} \|\nabla g^{-1}\|_{\mathbf{L}^\infty}) \right\rceil + 1$$

so that inequality (2.5) leads to the statement of the theorem. Notice that  $\|\nabla g^{\pm 1}\|_{\mathbf{L}^\infty} \geq 1$  since  $g^{\pm 1}$  is volume preserving.

## References

- [1] D. Chae, *On the Well-Posedness of the Euler Equations in the Triebel-Lizorkin Spaces*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **55** (2002), 654-678.
- [2] H-C Pak and E. J. Kwon, *Global vorticity existence of a perfect incompressible fluid in  $B_{\infty,1}^0(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$* , Journal of the Chungcheong Mathematical Society **23** (2010), 271-277.
- [3] H-C Pak and Y. J. Park, *Existence of solution for the Euler equations in a critical Besov space  $B_{\infty,1}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$* , Comm. Partial Diff. Eq. **29** (2004), 1149-1166.
- [4] H. Triebel, *Theory of Function Spaces*, Birkhauser, 1983.
- [5] H. Triebel, *Theory of Function Spaces II*, Birkhauser, 1992.
- [6] M. Vishik, *Hydrodynamics in Besov spaces*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **145** (1998), 197-214.
- [7] M. Vishik, *Incompressible flows of an ideal fluid with vorticity in borderline spaces of Besov type*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) **32** (1999), 769-812.

\*

Department of Mathematics  
Hoseo University  
Asan 336-795, Republic of Korea  
E-mail: ypark@hoseo.edu